

Dysphagia: Validating Tool The importance of a nursing dysphagia screening

Kelly Bush, AGACNP Amy Amos, M.A., CCC-SLP

#Saint Luke's

MARION BLOCH NEUROSCIENCE INSTITUTE

Disclosures

• We have no relevant financial or conflicts of interest to disclose.



We will discuss...

- Current recommendations re: dysphagia screening.
- A brief review of dysphagia & aspiration including statistics, burdens, & complications.
- Importance of early utilization of bedside dysphagia screening.
- Challenges of validating a dysphagia screening tool.



Primary goal...

 Understanding the importance of utilizing a *quality* dysphagia screening tool to provide superior nursing care.



Saint Luke's Marion Bloch Neuroscience Institute

- 2017 Gold Plus
- Commitment to quality patient care and excellence



Saint Luke's is committed to providing stroke treatment according to nationally recognized, evidence-based guidelines at all of our hospitals.

🟶 Saint Luke's

MARION BLOCH NEUROSCIENCE INSTITUTE

saintlukeskc.org/stroke



Validation Study at St. Luke's

- Dysphagia screening tool validation study at Saint Luke's Hospital - Kansas City (Plaza campus).
 - IRB approved, study in process.
 - Based on the most up-to-date literature, created previously by a team in-house by dedicated staff.
 - Validating in larger sample size in acute stroke population with limited time between testing.
 - Validating against gold standard video fluoroscopy.
 - Goal: good specificity and sensitivity with ease of use, reliable.



Stroke Facts

- Every 40 seconds someone has a stroke.
- Every 4 minutes someone dies of a stroke.
- 795,000 strokes per year in America, with 140,000 deaths.
- Cost of stroke: estimated at 34 billion each year.
- Stroke is a leading cause of long-term disability.



Brief History of Nursing Dysphagia Screen

- Late 1990's early 2000's
 - Growing research into the feasibility of swallowing screening completed by RNs/physicians
 - Research of important factors for identifying dysphagia and risk of aspiration.
- 2005
 - Increasing need reiterated for valid and reliable dysphagia screenings
- 2007
 - AHA/ASA Guidelines indicate swallowing should be screened prior to oral intake for CVA patients.
- 2010
 - The Joint Commission and "Get with the Guidelines" retired the dysphagia screen performance standards.
- 2012
 - AHA/ASA Symposium reviewed the characteristics of valid and reliable screening and assessment tools.



- 2014
 - AHA/ASA Current Recommendations.

Donovan, et al. (2013)

2012: AHA/ASA Conference Proceedings

Dysphagia Screening: State of the Art Invitational Conference Proceeding From the State-of-the-Art Nursing Symposium, International Stroke Conference 2012

- Identified need for valid and reliable dysphagia screenings
- What Constitutes a good screening instrument?
 - Easily administered, valid, reliable, high sensitivity, high specific, evidence based, minimal training, easily documented.
- "Absence of consensus on the best screening instrument does not mean no screening should be performed."
- Advance research initiatives



2014: AHA/ASA Clinical Performance Measures

- Dysphagia screening guidelines
 - (2014 Guidelines for Early Management of Patients with Acute Ischemic Stroke AHA/ASA) "dysphagia screening with an evidence-based bedside testing protocol approved by the hospital before being given any food, fluids, or medications by mouth".
 - "Dysphagia screening may consist of a structured bedside swallow screen administered by nursing staff, bedside swallow evaluation by a speech-language pathologist, video fluoroscopic swallow evaluation, fiber optic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing, or other method
 approved by local institutional protocol."



2014: AHA/ASA Clinical Performance Measures (con't)

 "Several studies have demonstrated a reduction in pneumonia after institutional implementation of dysphagia screening protocols, but without randomized control groups. Several swallow screening methods have been published in the literature, each with benefits and limitations, <u>without</u> <u>sufficient evidence to recommend a single consensus</u> <u>method.</u>"



Current Dysphagia Screens

- Numerous dysphagia screens in existence:
 - Toronto Bedside Swallowing Screening Test
 - 3-oz Water Swallow Test (WST)
 - Bedside Swallowing Assessment
 - Standardized Swallowing Assessment
 - Guggling Swallow Screen (GUSS)
 - Acute Stroke Dysphagia Screening (Barnes Jewish)
 - Modified Mann Assessment of Swallowing (MANN)
 - Emergency Physician Swallow Screening
 - And so on and so forth!



Dysphagia V. Aspiration







What exactly is dysphagia?

• More than just – "difficulty swallowing" or aspiration.



What *exactly* is aspiration?

- Penetration
- Aspiration



Clinical signs/symptoms of aspiration



- Statistics
 - Incidence: 37% to 78% (Guyomard, 2009)
 - 50% of those with dysphagia will aspirate (Hinchey, 2005)
 - 33% of those who aspirate will develop pneumonia (Hinchey, 2005)
 - Dysphagia is associated with increased mortality (3 fold) (Donovan et al. 2013)
 - Increased predicted risk of death at 3 months with pneumonia diagnosis (Finlayson, 2011)
 - 50% of stroke patients will have persisting dysphagia at 6 months (Mann, 1999)



- Burden & Complications
 - Increased length of stay (LOS rose 217%) (Campbell, 2016)
 - Incidence of mortality associated with aspiration pneumonia among patients with ischemic stroke is approximately 35% (Hitchney, 2005)
 - Dehydration and malnutrition (Clave, 2012)
 - Cost of aspiration pneumonia \$13,000 to \$16,000 per episode (Titsworth, 2013)
 - Similar study citing \$23,338 (Cohen, 2016).
 - Reduced quality of life



- Burden & Complications (con't)
 - The one year attributable cost of post-stroke dysphagia (Bonilha et al. Dysphagia, 2014)
 - "Unique, preliminary assessment of dysphagia related costs post-stroke"
 - Determined cost of dysphagia 1 year post-stroke: \$9,297 (based on Medicare cost)
 - Multiple variables to account for cost / Limitations noted
 - 2004 database collection



- Early detection of dysphagia
 - Early detection may reduce length of stay, improved outcomes, decrease risk of pneumonia, decrease medical costs, improve allocation of resources, allow for early mobilization of treatments and/or therapy (O'Horo, 2015)
- Variables that may decrease likelihood of pneumonia
 - Stroke unit care associated with significant reductions (Govan, 2007)
 - Timing of dysphagia screen (Hinchey, 2005)
 - Early diet modifications (Hinchey, 2005)
 - Early mobilization (Ingeman, 2011)



- Further need for *early* dysphagia screen intervention
 - Keeping patient's NPO until complete dysphagia assessment is completed may present other health risks
 - SLP not present 24/7
 - Every stroke patient does not need SLP dysphagia assessment

(Donovan et al, 2013)



- *What* and *how* exactly are we evaluating?
- Dysphagia <u>Screening</u>
- Dysphagia <u>Assessment</u>
 - SLP Clinical/Bedside Swallow Evaluation
 - Instrumental Dysphagia Evaluation
 - Modified Barium Swallow Study (MBSS)/ Videofluoroscopic Swallow Study (VFSS)
 - Fiberoptic Endoscopic Evaluation of Swallow (FEES)



- Dysphagia Screening
 - Identify dysphagia and aspiration risk
 - "Pass" or "Fail"
- 5 Main Categories of Screening Items
 - Demographics
 - History
 - Functional Assessment
 - Oral Mechanism Assessment
 - Swallowing Test

(Daniels et al, 2012)



- Aspiration in Patients With Acute Stroke
 - Determine whether specific clinical features of the oropharyngeal mechanism predict aspiration within 5 days of acute stroke.
- 55 patients
- Oral motor exam, clinical swallow evaluation, & VFSS
- Dysphagia in 65% of patients (confirmed using VFSS)
- Aspiration in 38% of patients
 - Of the patients that aspirated
 - 33% of patients aspirated overtly
 - 67% of patients aspirated silently



- 6 clinical indicators that significantly predict aspiration include:
 - Dysphonia
 - Dysarthria
 - Abnormal gag reflex
 - Abnormal volitional cough
 - Cough after swallow
 - Voice changes after swallow
- Predictors of silent aspiration
- Sensitivity = 69.6%, Specificity = 84.4%
- All patients who aspirated presented with at least 1 clinical indicator, 90% presented with 2 or more.



 Bedside swallow testing without the clinical features identified on oral motor exam, failed to identify 24% of aspirating patients.

- Water Swallow Tests (WST)
 - Determine diagnostic accuracy for identifying patients who are aspirating.
 - Observe airway response with or without voice changes following water trial(s).
 - Single sip volume (1-5mL)
 - Consecutive sips (90 100mL)
 - Progressively increasing volumes



- Water Swallow Tests (WST) Results
 - Consecutive sips from large volumes offers the best characteristics to rule out overt aspiration
 - Single sips volumes appropriately ruled in aspiration when clinical signs were present, though negative results may be indicative of false negatives.
 - Omission of silent aspiration data
 - Combining single sips with consecutive sips from large volumes warrants further research.

(Brodsky et al, 2016)



• Why do *both...*

oral mechanism and swallowing test? assessment







Predictors and Outcomes of Dysphagia Screening After Acute Ischemic Stroke

- Data analysis of Ontario Stroke Registry 2010 to 2013 of 7,171 patients (Raed A. Joundi, et al. Stroke, 2017)
 - 80% received dysphagia screening
 - Higher risk of pneumonia (13.1% vs 1.9%)
 - Severe disability defined as Modified Rankin Score 4-5 (52.4% vs 18.0%)
 - Discharge to long term care facility (14.0% vs 4.3%)
 - *Decubitus ulcer* (1.9% vs 0.1%)
 - Percutaneous feeding tube (9.0% vs 0.1%)
 - All cause mortality at one year (36.2% vs 10.2%)



*Limitations

Validating a Dysphagia Screening Tool

- Does it measure what it is supposed to measure & perform as its supposed to perform?
 - Sensitivity / Specificity / Reliability
- Validation: works consisting of research using processes by which the reliability and relevance of a procedure for a specific purpose are established
- Validity, derived from Latin meaning "strong"
- Numerous statistical tests may be utilized
- Validation against "gold standard" instrumental
 testing (video fluoroscopy / FEES) (Daniels, 1998).

Challenges of Validation

- Limited financial and human resources
- Change in patient condition between tests
- Prolonged time interval between tests
- Sample size
- Study design
- Inter-rater reliability
- Grant requests



Challenges of Validation

- Lack of consensus on a single dysphagia screening tool as "gold standard"
- Literature review:
 - Majority with small sample size, marginal sensitivity and or specificity, extended time between tests, some not validated against instrumental examination (gold standard).
 - Further prospective studies are needed



Conclusions

- Dysphagia and aspiration are common occurrences in patients with acute stroke.
- Failing to identify dysphagia and aspiration in a timely manner increases healthcare costs, medical co-morbidities, likelihood of death and decreases patient satisfaction and quality of life.
- Efficient implementation of a quality dysphagia screening tool can reduce the burdens and complications associated with dysphagia and aspiration.
- Dysphagia screening is not a "one size fits all" process.
- Though no single dysphagia screening tool is currently recommended by the AHA/ASA, dysphagia screening is a necessary component to comprehensive stroke care.



Questions?



Citations

- Bonilha H.S., et al. The One-year attributable cost of post-stroke dysphagia. Dysphagia. 2014;29:545-552.
- Brodsky, M.B., Suiter, D.M., Gonzalez-Fernandez, M., Michtalik, H.J., Frymark, T.B., Venediktov, R., Schooling, T. (2016). Screening Accuracy for Aspiration Using Bedside Water Swallow Tests. *Chest Infections*, July: 148-163.
- Campbell G.B., Carter T., Kring D., Martines C. Nursing bedside dysphagia screen: Is it valid? American Association of Neuroscience Nurses. 2016;2.75-79.
- Clave P., Rofes L., Carrion S., et al. Pathophysiology, relevance and natural history of oropharyngeal dysphagia among older people. Nestle Nutrition institute Workshop Ser. 2012;72:57-66.
- Daniels S.K., Brailey K., Priestly D.H., Herrington L.R., Weisbergy L.A., Foundas A.L. (1998). Aspiration in patients with acute stroke. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 79(1), 14-19.
- Daniels, S.K., Anderson, J.A., Willson, P.C. (2012). Valid Items for Screening Dysphagia Risk in Patients With Stroke: A Systematic Review. *Stroke*, 43: 892-897. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.640946
- Donovan N.J., Daniels S.K., Edmiaston, J., Weinhardt, J., Summers D., Mitchell, P.H. Dysphagia screening: State of the art conference: Invitational conference proceeding from the state-of-the-art nursing symposium, international stroke conference 2012. Stroke. 2013;44(4):e24-31.
- Finlayson O., Kapral M., Hall R., Asllani E., Selchen D., Saposnik G., et al. Risk factors, inpatient care, and outcomes of pneumonia after ischemic stroke. Neurology. 2011;77: 1338–1345. pmid:21940613



Citations (con't)

- Govan L, Langhorne P, Weir C. Does the prevention of complications explain the survival benefit of organized inpatient (stroke unit) care? Further analysis of a systematic review. Stroke 2007; 38: 253
- Guyomard V, Fulcher RA, Redmayne O, Metcalf AK, Potter JF, Myint PK. Effect of dysphagia and dysphagia on inpatient mortality and hospital length of stay: a study database. J American Geriatric Society. 2009;57:2101-6.
- Hinchey JA, Shephard T, Furie K, Smith D, Wang D, Tonn S, Stroke Practice Improvement Network Investigators. (2005)Formal dysyphagia screening protocols prevent pneumonia. Stroke, 36(9), 1972-1976.
- Ingeman A, Anderson G, Hundborg H, Svendsen M, Johnsen S. Processes of care and medical complications in patients with stroke. Stroke 2011; 42: 167–172
- Mann G, Hankey GI, Camperon D. Swallowing function after stroke: prognosis and prognostic factors at 6 months. Stroke. 1999;30:744-8.
- Martino R, Foley N, Bhogal S, Diamant N, Speechley M, Teasell R. Dysphagia after stroke: incidence, diagnosis, and pulmonary complications. Stroke. 2005;36:2756-2753.
- Titsworth WL, Abram J, Fullerton A, Hester J, Guin P, Waters MF, et al. Prospective quality initiative to maximized dysphagia screening reduces hospital-acquired pneumonia prevalence in patients with stroke. Stroke. 2013;44(11):3154-3160.

